RESEARCH ARTICLE

Adaptability and phenotypic stability of soybean genotypes regarding epicotyl length using artificial neural network and non-parametric test

Jorge Cunha Resende¹, Éder Matsuo^{1,*}, Guilherme Ferreira Alves¹, Gustavo Lourenço Bomtempo¹, Moysés Nascimento² and Silvana da Costa Ferreira³

¹Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Federal University of Viçosa, Rio Paranaíba Campus, Highway MG 230, Km 7, PO Box 22, Rio Paranaiba, MG, Brazil, CEP 38810-000. ²Department of Statistic, Bioagro, Computational Intelligence Laboratory and Statistical Learning, Federal University of Viçosa, Viçosa Campus, Peter Henry Rolfs Avenue, s/n, Viçosa, MG, Brazil, CEP 36570-900. ³Institute of Biological and Health Sciences, Plant Systematics Laboratory, Federal University of Viçosa, Rio Paranaíba Campus, Highway MG 230, Km 7, PO Box 22, Rio Paranaiba, MG, Brazil, CEP 38810-000. *Corresponding author, E-mail: edermatsuo@ufv.br

ABSTRACT

Genetic improvement together with statistics has contributed to the growth of the importance of soybean in Brazil. One of the contributions has been the launching of new cultivars in the national market, which requires, in its legal procedures for registration and protection, the verification of several tests, one of them being the distinguishability test. Several studies have reported that some phenotypic characters are potential in this distinction, one of them is the length of the epicotyl. In this work, the objective was to identify soybean genotypes that present low or high average, highly stable throughout the analyzed environments and that present adaptability to different environments. Two groups of experiments were conducted in a greenhouse to measure the epicotyl length of soybean plants submitted to different environments (planting season). The data obtained were analyzed using the analysis of individual variance, analysis of joint variance, Scott-Knott test and adaptability and stability through the Artificial Neural Network and non-parametric test. It can be concluded that the genotypes that showed low average for epicotyl length, wide adaptability or poor responsiveness to environmental improvements and stable over the seasons were TMG 1175 RR (in V2), BMX Tornado RR (in V2), BG 4272 (in V2), BRS283 (in V2 and V3) and FT-Cristalina (in V2 and V3). BRSMG 752 S (in V2 and V3), TMG 4185 (in V3) and BRSGO 7560 (in V3) behaved as high medium, high stability and wide adaptability. The genotypes BRS 8381, TMG 4185, MG/BR46 Conquista, BRSMG 850 GRR, BRS Valiosa RR and BG 4277 were stable and recommended for favorable environments.

Keywords: *Glycine max*, artificial intelligence, biostatistics, genetic improvement, GxA interaction, morphological characters.



OPEN ACCESS

How to cite: Resende, J. C., Matsuo, E., Alves, G. F., Bomtempo, G. L., Nascimento, M., & Ferreira, S. C. (2023). Adaptability and phenotypic stability of soybean genotypes regarding epicotyl length using artificial neural network and non-parametric test. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, 9, 1-14 <u>https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r190.v9.</u> 2023

Received: April 14, 2023. Accepted: June 8, 2023. Published: July 18, 2023.

English by: André Luis Miyagaki

Copyright: © 2023 Agronomy Science and Biotechnology. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, since the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) is one important source of protein and vegetable oil in the world and it can be used for human and animal food (Gontijo et al., 2023; Paixão et al., 2023; Pradebon et al., 2023). The soy crop has one of the most successful historical programs and is the reason for changes in Brazilian agriculture regarding the professionalization and specialization of agriculture in some regions of Brazil, currently having the largest area and national production (Silva, Silva, Bezerra, & Sediyama, 2021). In the 2019/2020 agricultural season, Brazil became the world's largest soybean producer (Embrapa Soja, 2021). According to Oda et al. (2015) part of this success is due to the genetic improvement programs of several Brazilian research institutions and universities. Moreover, multidisciplinarity has become a necessary and mandatory requirement in soybean breeding, from the planning of potential parents to the commercialization of seeds of the new cultivar (Matsuo, Borém, & Sediyama, 2021b).

Changes in soybean improvement have been observed after the approval of the Cultivar Protection Law, in the sense of increasing the number and quality of cultivars available to rural producers. That happened as a result of greater investments by different research institutions, in addition to the number of companies (public and private) active in soybean improvement, internationalization of research (mainly in biotechnology topics) and improvements in the field research network (Matsuo, Borém, Sediyama, & Ferreira, 2021a).

Soybean cultivars are differentiated when evaluated by several phenotypic characters, such as: anthocyanin pigmentation of the hypocotyl, predominant flower color, type of plant growth, among others. Nogueira et al. (2008) suggested the need to expand the list of descriptors used in this differentiation. In this sense, among several characters, the epicotyl length has stood out in terms of identifying differences between cultivars (Nogueira et al., 2008; Matsuo, Sediyama, Cruz, Oliveira, & Cadore, 2012a; Matsuo, Sediyama, Cruz, & Oliveira, 2012b; Chaves et al., 2017; Camargos, Campos, Alves, Ferreira, & Matsuo 2019; Hanyu, Costa, Cecon, & Matsuo, 2020; Gontijo et al., 2021). However, no manuscripts reporting phenotypic stability and its behavior as a function of different environments were identified in the literature.

This knowledge becomes important because when analyzing a genotype in different environments, its phenotypic value, besides being influenced by the environment to which it is subjected and its genotypic effect, can be influenced by an additional component called interaction genotypes x environments (Cruz, Regazzi, & Carneiro, 2012). Studies regarding the interaction genotypes x environments, despite being of great importance for the improvement, do not provide detailed information about the behavior of each genotype against environmental variations (Cruz et al., 2012). For this purpose, these authors recommend the analysis of adaptability and stability, through which it becomes possible to identify cultivars with predictable behavior and that are responsive to environmental variations, under specific or broad conditions. Several methodologies are available for analyzing the stability and adaptability of a group of genotypes tested in a series of environments, such as Artificial Neural Networks of Nascimento et al., 2013, Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), among others.

The artificial neural network methodology for phenotypic adaptability and stability by Nascimento et al (2013) was based on training an artificial neural network considering the methodology of Eberhart & Russell (1966). Simulated genotypes are used in the training and validation of the neural network. In this way, no assumptions

about the model are made and the genotype assignment in terms of adaptability and stability is not based only on the genotypes under study but on a large collection of genotypes simulated according to characteristics of the experiment under study (Nascimento, Nascimento, & Barroso, 2018). Thus, the interpretation of adaptability by ANN should be similar to that of Eberhart & Russell (1966), whereas regarding stability, the concept used in the ANN of Nascimento et al (2013) is based on the work of Finlay and Wilkinson (1963), which differs from Eberhart by considering stability as invariance and not predictability (Nascimento et al., 2013). Artificial neural networks with a focus on adaptability and stability analysis have already been used to evaluate the stability and adaptability of grain yield of soybean elite strains by traditional methods and neural network-based approaches (Oda, Sediyama, Matsuo, Nascimento, & Cruz, 2019) and for evaluating the interaction genotypes x environments in soybean genotypes grown in Alto Paranaíba, Minas Gerais, and identifying genotypes with indicated for broad (general) environment, those recommended for favorable or unfavorable environments through different methodologies of adaptability and stability (Matsuo, Dezordi, Nascimento, & Cruz, 2022).

The methodology of Lin and Binns (1988) is based on the estimation of the Pi parameter that measures the deviation of the average of a genotype in relation to the maximum in each environment. Carneiro (1998) proposed an improvement of the method in order to make it capable of determining the behavior of the genotypes in specific environments: favorable and unfavorable, in which the Pi parameter started to be interpreted by MAEC (Measure of Adaptability and Stability of Behavior) and the classification of the environments was made based on the environmental indexes (Cruz & Carneiro, 2006). The use of the method of Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), by means of the bissegmented straight line can also be applied for cases in which the interest is in the best values of the variable analyzed or when the interest is around a fixed value (highest, lowest average or a specific value) (Cruz & Carneiro, 2006).

The identification of genotypes that are responsive or not to the improvement of environment is important for the genetic improvement in soybean, but specifically regarding the differentiation of genotypes. Thus, the objective is to identify soybean genotypes that present low or high averages, highly stable throughout the environments analyzed and that present adaptability to different environments.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted under greenhouse conditions at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa - Rio Paranaíba Campus, in Rio Paranaíba - MG (19°12'50.4 "S 46°13'57.7 "O; 1133 msnm). Pots with 3 dm³ of soil capacity were used and arranged on benches. The seeds, of random size, were planted at a depth of 2 cm and the seedlings were grown according to the recommendation of the cultivar (Sediyama, 2009).

Experiment A. Similar experiments were conducted in four planting seasons (January, March, May, and October of the year 2018), in which 28 conventional soybean genotypes were evaluated (BRS Candeia, BRS Carnaúba, BRS Tracajá, BRS 283, BRS 284, MG/BR 46 (Conquista), P98C81, BRS 313 (Tiêta), TMG 803, M-SOY 8757, BRSGO 8660, FTM Tucunaré, TMG 4185, BRS 8381, BRSGO 8360, TMG 801, TMG 4182, AN 8500, BRSMG 810C, BRSMG 68 Vencedora, BRSGO 7960, BRS 7980, BRSGO 7560, BRSMG 752, FT Cristalina, TMG 401, CD 201 and CD 202).

When the seedlings reached the VC development stage (Fehr & Caviness, 1977)

they were thinned leaving two per pot and the evaluation of the epicotyl length, in mm, was performed when the plants reached the V2 and V3 development stages. In each planting season, a randomized block design with four repetitions was considered, with the experimental unit consisting of two plants and the mean of the plot was used in the analysis of the epicotyl length data.

Experiment B. Similar experiments were conducted in six planting seasons (November/2014, January/2015, March/2015, October/2015, February/2016, and April/2016) in which 16 genotypes (P98Y30; BRSMG820RR; BRSMG850GRR; BRS810C, BRSValiosaRR, BRSMG811CRR, MG/BR 46 (Conquista), BG4277, BRSMG760SRR, TMG1175RR, BRSMG752S, BG4272, PRE6336, BMXTornadoRR, NA5909RG and PRE5808).

When the seedlings reached the VC development stage (Fehr & Caviness, 1977) the plants were thinned leaving three per pot and the evaluation of the epicotyl length, in mm, was performed when the plants reached the V2 development stage. In each planting season, a randomized block design with four repetitions was considered, with the experimental unit being composed of three plants and the mean of the plot was used in the analysis of the epicotyl length data.

Statistical analysis. Experiments A and B were analyzed separately. The data obtained were initially analyzed by individual analysis of variance (i.e., each planting season was characterized as a growing environment). Subsequently, the homogeneity of the residual variances was analyzed using the F_{max} test (Cruz e al., 2012) and the variances were considered homogeneous when this ratio was less than 7.0 (Pimentel-Gomes, 1990). The joint analysis of variance was performed considering the randomized block design in the simple factorial scheme (genotypes vs. Environments). It consisted of fixed effects for genotypes, random effects for environments and for the interaction of genotypes x environments and 5% of error probability (type I error). Additionally, the coefficients of genotypic determination $(H^2_{(\%)})$ were estimated.

The model joint analysis of variance was $Y_{ijk} = m + G_i + B/A_{jk} + A_k + GA_{ik} + E_{ijk}$, where: Y_{ijk} is the observed value of productivity in genotype i in block j and within environment k; m is the overall mean; G_i is the fixed effect of genotype i; B/A_{jk} is the random effect of block j within environment k; A_k is the random effect of environment k; GA_{ik} is the random effect of the interaction of genotype i with environment k; and E_{ijk} is the experimental error.

After verifying the significance of the interaction (p<0.05) the Scott-Knott test was performed to group the genotypes within each environment and the study of adaptability and stability through the Artificial Neural Network of Nascimento et al., 2013 and Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), to analyze the behavior of each genotype throughout the different environments.

Regarding the artificial neural network (ANN), the simulation of the data for computational training purposes and classification of the genotypes as to adaptability and stability by means of the ANN were obtained according to Nascimento et al. (2013). Therefore, the *back-propatation single hidden layer* network was used, with 1 input, 1 intermediate and 1 output layer. The first layer with 4 and 6 inputs which refer to the number of epicotyl length averages evaluated in 4 and 6 environments (for experiments A and B, respectively). In the intermediate layer the number of neurons was a whole value, between 1 and 15 neurons. The output layer, on the other hand, was composed of 6 neurons that referred to the classification of the genotype in one of the six classes defined by Eberhart & Russell (1966), as described by Nascimento et al. (2013). The necessary arguments for the network function, such as number of neurons in the hidden layer, initial values for

the weights, decay rate and maximum iterations were chosen considering the network that provided an error value of at most 2% for the test set, as performed by Nascimento et al. (2013) and Barroso, Nascimento, Nascimento, Silva and Ferreira (2013). These authors suggest that the best network architecture should be established considering a classification error lower than 2%.

For the analysis using the method of Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), specifically regarding the use of bissegmented straight lines, according to Cruz & Carneiro (2006), β_{om} was attributed the minimum and maximum value of the variable throughout the experiment and the regression coefficients for the unfavorable and favorable environments (β_{1m} and β_{1m}) assumed the value zero. Under this condition, the genotype with the best genotypic performance, that is, the most adapted and with greater stability of behavior, would be the one closest to the ideal in each location, which would present the lowest estimate for the MAEC parameter (Cruz & Carneiro, 2006).

For adaptability and stability analysis using Artificial Neural Networks, the *nnet* function from the *nnet* package (Venables & Ripley, 2002) implemented in the R Program (R Development Core Team, 2021) was used and the other analyses were performed in the Genes Program (Cruz, 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The genotypes showed significant differences (p < 0.01) for epicotyl length in the four environments and the two developmental stages in Experiment A and in the six environments in Experiment B (Table 1). This indicates that there is a possibility of identifying genotypes that differ in epicotyl length in all environments analyzed (Experiments A and B). The values of coefficients of variation ranged from 8.3% to 17.1%. These values are similar to those found in the literature as in Matsuo et al. (2012a), Nogueira et al. (2008) and Hanyu et al. (2020), whose coefficient of variation values obtained were, respectively, lower than 16%, 19% and 12% and 19.85%.

	Environments	QM (Gen)	Average(mm)	CVe _(%) ¹	H²	HRV
	1 = January/2018	227.028** ²	45.6	13.9	0.823	
Exp A	2 = March/2018	192.209**	38.9	16.3	0.791	1 01
V2 Stage	3 = May/2018	403.381**	45.6	16.8	0.854	1.81
5	4 = October/2018	283.722**	39.9	14.2	0.885	
	1 = January/2018	250.385**	48.1	12.9	0.845	
Exp A	2 = March/2018	229.583**	44.1	12.6	0.865	1.72
V3 Stage	3 = May/2018	485.007**	48.8	14.9	0.890	1.72
	4 = October/2018	323.201**	42.7	14.1	0.888	
	1 = November/2014	271.676**	33.0	8.3	0.972	
	2 = January/2015	691.973**	48.4	9.9	0.967	
Ехр В	3 = March/2015	258.464**	33.9	16.9	0.873	4 27
V2 Stage	4 = October/2015	306.580**	34.5	16.2	0.898	4.37
	5 = February/2016	267.784**	41.0	11.4	0.918	
	6 = April/2016	266.866**	33.0	17.1	0.881	

Table 1. Summary of individual analysis of variance of epicotyl length measured in Experiment A on 28 soybean genotypes (V2 and V3 stages in 4 environments) and in Experiment B on 16 soybean genotypes (V2 stage in 6 environments), Rio Paranaíba – Minas Gerais.

¹CVe: Experimental Variation Coefficient (in %); H²: Coefficient of genotypic determination; and HVR: Homogeneity of residual variance obtained by the ratio between Greater (QMR) and Lesser (QMR) proposed by Cruz et al. (2012). ^{2**}: Significant by F test at 1% probability.

The ratio between the largest and smallest residual mean square of the experiments was 1.81 for the evaluations performed in the V2 stage (Exp A), 1.72 for V3 (Exp A) and 4.37 for Experiment B. The values were lower than 7.0 and indicate, according to Pimentel-Gomes (1990), homogeneity of variances, thus allowing the joint analysis of the experiments.

In the joint analysis (Table 2) the effects of interaction genotypes x environments (GxA), of environments and of genotypes were significant (p < 0.01) in both experiments. The significance of the GxA interaction shows that there is a response variation of the genotypes in the different environments. The coefficients of variation, in the joint analysis, were 15.4% and 13.7%, respectively for the V2 and V3 stages, in Experiment A and 13.3%, for V2 in Experiment B.

The estimates obtained for genotypic determination coefficient H^2 were higher than 0.79 (i.e., > 79%), considering the environments individually (Table 1) and the pooled analyses of variance (Table 2). Estimates higher than 70% indicate high genetic influence on phenotypic expression (Chaves et al., 2017). Therefore, the results of the present work indicate high genetic influence for epicotyl length. Similar results were obtained by Nogueira et al. (2008), Matsuo et al. (2012a) and Hanyu et al. (2020).

Table 2. Analysis of variance summary for epicotyl length, in cm, measured in Experiment A, at the V2 and V3 stages on 28 soybean genotypes and 4 environments and in Experiment B, at the V2 stage, on 16 soybean genotypes in 6 environments.

FV		Experiment	Experiment B				
FV	GL	V2 Stage	V3 Stage	GL	V2 Stage		
Blocks / Environments	12	245.895	245.747	18	37.714		
Genotypes (G)	27	898.789** ²	1066.196**	15	1558.268**		
Enviroments (E)	3	1446.358**	982.545**	5	2482.722**		
G x A	81	69.184**	73.993**	75	101.015**		
Residue	324	42.783	39.682	270	24.739		
General average (in mm)		42.5	45.9		37.3		
CVe (%) ¹		15.4	13.7		13.3		
H ²		0.923	0.930		0.935		
4				-			

¹CVe: Experimental Variation Coefficient (in %); and H²: Coefficient of Genotypic Determination. ^{2**}: Significant by the F test at 1% probability.

The genotypes MG/BR46_Conquista, TMG 4185, BRSGO 7560 and BRSMG 752 S were allocated in the highest average group and the genotypes FT Cristalina and BRS 283 in the lowest average groups for epicotyl length measured at the V2 stage (Table 3). By the RNA method of Nascimentos et al. (2013) (Table 3) of those selected as low and high average by Scott-Knott, the genotype BRS 283, FT-Cristalina and BRSMG 752 S present high stability and recommendation for general environment for the measurements performed at the V2 stage by ANN.

The percentages of accordance between the methodology of Eberhart & Russell (1966) and the training of an artificial neural network to analyze the phenotypic adaptability and stability of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) genotypes were, respectively for adaptability and stability, 81.52% and 83.69% comparing Eberhart & Russell (1966) and Artificial Neural Networks (Barroso et al., 2013). Teodoro et al. (2015) indicated that the magnitudes of agreement between the methods were 100% and 70%, respectively for phenotypic adaptability and stability. Carvalho et al. (2018) found 100% and 81.82% agreement for phenotypic adaptability and stability of cotton genotypes. Alves et al. (2019) when considering all cultivars analyzed,

identified 87.5% and 81.25% of agreement between the methods (Eberhart & Russell (1966) and Artificial Neural Networks) for Adaptability and Stability, respectively. Oda, Sediyama, Cruz, Nascimento, and Matsuo (2022) the agreement, regarding the classification of genotypes, between the ANN proposed by Nascimento et al. (2013) with the method of Eberhart and Russell (1966) was 94% in adaptability and regarding phenotypic stability was 53%. Therefore, according to Nascimento et al. (2013), Teodoro et al. (2015) and Carvalho et al. (2018) ANNs can be considered an effective alternative to measure adaptability and phenotypic stability of genotypes in breeding programs.

Table 3. Averages of epicotyl length, in mm, measured in Experiment A, V2 stage, in 28 soybean genotypes as a function of 4 environments and estimates of adaptability and stability parameters by using the ANN method of Nascimento et al., 2013 and Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998) (L&B).

Canadamaa				Ε	nvironm	ents ¹	L			RN	A ²	L8	kB ³
Genotypes	1		2		3		4		Average ²	Adap	Est	Pi _{min}	Pi _{max}
BRS Candeia	46.6	b	44.8	а	47.6	С	43.9	b	45.7	G	Н	325.3	300.3
BRS Carnaúba	45.1	b	48.0	а	43.7	С	44.1	b	45.2	U	Н	312.6	313.5
BRS Tracajá	38.9	С	35.9	b	44.0	С	35.5	С	38.6	G	Н	173.5	505.6
BRS 283	36.5	С	27.2	С	27.4	d	28.6	d	29.9	G	Н	53.9	818.2
BRS 284	48.6	b	41.5	а	44.2	С	40.0	b	43.6	G	Н	277.6	359.0
MG/BR46_Conquista	56.8	а	50.4	а	70.2	а	49.2	а	56.6	F	L	696.7	126.5
P98C81	52.7	b	46.3	а	40.9	С	38.9	b	44.7	G	Н	313.4	338.9
BRS 313_Tieta	39.7	С	34.5	b	41.1	С	35.0	С	37.6	G	Н	154.3	535.7
TMG 803	48.1	b	40.1	а	46.5	С	46.2	b	45.2	G	Н	316.0	316.5
M-SOY 8757	49.8	b	39.0	а	45.0	С	42.5	b	44.1	G	Н	292.4	347.5
BRSGO 8660	40.8	С	31.5	b	43.0	С	34.9	С	37.5	G	Н	160.1	543.0
FTM Tucunaré	36.6	С	37.9	а	41.3	С	40.5	b	39.1	G	Н	179.1	485.4
TMG 4185	56.7	а	41.3	а	65.6	а	51.6	а	53.8	F	Н	601.9	172.5
BRS 8381	50.7	b	35.8	b	48.4	С	36.7	С	42.9	F	Н	279.3	394.2
BRSGO 8360	41.6	С	31.7	b	32.4	d	29.6	d	33.8	G	Н	102.9	671.6
TMG 801	46.2	b	41.5	а	44.8	С	48.2	а	45.2	G	Н	313.7	315.6
TMG 4182	45.8	b	44.4	а	43.2	С	40.9	b	43.6	G	Н	274.2	354.9
AN 8500	45.1	b	42.7	а	57.4	b	40.8	b	46.5	G	Н	365.1	301.7
BRSMG 810C	46.6	b	42.0	а	39.9	С	44.6	b	43.3	G	Н	268.3	365.2
BRSMG68Vencedora	51.8	b	38.4	а	49.9	С	40.1	b	45.1	G	Н	325.4	332.3
BRSGO 7960	50.6	b	46.2	а	49.9	С	46.9	а	48.4	G	Н	397.7	239.2
BRS 7980	43.5	С	28.6	С	42.0	С	33.8	С	37.0	G	Н	158.3	569.9
BRSGO 7560	62.5	а	42.0	а	60.9	b	56.5	а	55.5	F	L	652.2	140.9
BRSMG 752 S	49.3	b	50.6	а	61.0	b	54.8	а	53.9	G	Н	577.2	142.5
FT Cristalina	26.6	d	22.1	С	29.2	d	20.3	d	24.6	G	Н	15.5	1047.3
TMG 401	34.5	С	33.8	b	34.7	d	33.1	С	34.0	G	Н	94.8	654.5
CD 201	39.4	С	34.8	b	41.1	С	27.8	d	35.8	G	Н	133.6	605.7
CD 202	45.8	b	36.3	b	41.5	С	33.4	С	39.2	G	Н	191.6	490.1

¹Averages followed by the same lower-case letters, in the column, form statistically similar groups by the Scott-Knott method at 5% significance. ²F: Specific adaptability to favorable environments, G: General adaptability, U: Specific adaptability to unfavorable environments, H: High stability and L: Low stability. ³Pi_{min}: The minimum value for β_{0m} was adopted and Pi_{max}: The maximum value for β_{0m} was adopted.

By the method of Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), the genotypes with the best genotypic performances are BRS 283 and FT-Cristalina when

considering the ideal genotype as the one with the lowest average and the genotypes MG/BR46_Conquista and BRSMG 752 S when considering the ideotype as the one with the highest average. Through this methodology, the most adapted genotypes and with greater stability of behavior will be those with values closer to the ideal (lowest, average, highest or a fixed value of the average), that is, with lower estimates for the MAEC parameter, Pi (Cruz & Carneiro, 2006).

For the V3 stage, the genotypes MG/BR46_Conquista, TMG 4185, BRSGO 7560 and BRSMG 752 S formed the group with the highest average and FT Cristalina and BRS 283 the two groups with the lowest average (Table 4). When analyzing the results for V3 stage, it was verified by the RNA methodology, that BRS 283, TMG 4185, BRSGO 7560, BRSMG 752 S and FT Cristalina were of high stability and recommendation for general environment.

Table 4. Averages of epicotyl length, in mm, measured in Experiment A, V3 stage, in 28 soybean genotypes as a function of 4 environments and estimates of adaptability and stability parameters by using the ANN method of Nascimentos et al., 2013 and Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998) (L&B).

Constynes				E	nvironm	ents ¹				RN	A ²	L8	L&B ³	
Genotypes	1		2		3		4		Average ²	Adap	Est	Pimin	Pi _{max}	
BRS Candeia	49.3	b	50.9	а	50.0	С	46.8	b	49.2	G	Н	397.6	429.8	
BRS Carnaúba	50.0	b	50.7	а	45.4	С	45.5	b	47.9	G	Н	362.7	471.6	
BRS Tracajá	42.6	С	40.4	b	45.3	С	37.4	С	41.4	G	Н	211.2	692.1	
BRS 283	39.1	С	31.8	С	29.3	d	31.4	d	32.9	G	Н	76.6	1048.1	
BRS 284	52.3	b	47.3	а	47.3	С	42.9	С	47.4	G	Н	352.7	488.6	
MG/BR46_Conquista	59.7	а	53.8	а	78.5	а	52.5	b	61.1	F	Н	856.2	205.2	
P98C81	55.4	b	49.4	а	44.9	С	39.9	С	47.4	G	Н	362.1	500.9	
BRS 313_Tieta	43.8	С	38.9	b	44.0	С	37.5	С	41.0	G	Н	203.0	707.1	
TMG 803	50.5	b	49.0	а	48.7	С	53.6	b	50.5	G	Н	433.0	396.0	
M-SOY 8757	52.9	b	43.0	а	46.6	С	45.6	b	47.0	G	Н	343.2	502.4	
BRSGO 8660	42.5	С	35.0	b	45.1	С	38.6	С	40.3	G	Н	191.8	738.3	
FTM Tucunaré	39.0	С	42.8	а	43.3	С	43.0	С	42.0	G	Н	220.5	668.1	
TMG 4185	60.3	а	48.9	а	67.0	b	52.7	b	57.2	G	Н	676.2	251.8	
BRS 8381	48.8	b	46.0	а	50.1	С	38.6	С	45.9	G	Н	317.2	542.8	
BRSGO 8360	43.1	С	37.0	b	33.6	d	32.0	d	36.4	G	Н	126.3	896.3	
TMG 801	47.4	С	44.3	а	49.3	С	49.8	b	47.7	G	Н	356.4	477.4	
TMG 4182	48.4	b	48.9	а	51.0	С	43.3	С	47.9	G	Н	363.0	473.4	
AN 8500	44.8	С	45.9	а	64.4	b	42.4	С	49.4	G	Н	438.7	463.0	
BRSMG 810C	46.3	С	44.1	а	44.2	С	48.8	b	45.9	G	Н	308.6	535.4	
BRSMG68Vencedora	59.7	а	48.8	а	53.3	С	42.4	С	51.1	G	Н	469.2	397.1	
BRSGO 7960	51.8	b	48.3	а	54.5	С	50.2	b	51.2	G	Н	455.3	376.4	
BRS 7980	45.4	С	37.7	b	46.2	С	35.4	С	41.2	G	Н	213.0	707.9	
BRSGO 7560	64.8	а	57.5	а	66.1	b	63.5	а	63.0	G	Н	881.7	126.8	
BRSMG 752 S	52.8	b	56.4	а	63.3	b	56.2	а	57.2	G	Н	658.6	235.0	
FT Cristalina	28.5	d	23.8	С	31.7	d	21.1	е	26.3	G	Н	21.9	1374.2	
TMG 401	36.3	d	37.5	b	37.2	d	38.7	С	37.4	G	Н	133.3	846.1	
CD 201	42.2	С	37.7	b	42.2	С	30.1	d	38.0	G	Н	155.5	832.7	
CD 202	48.4	b	40.2	b	43.9	С	36.3	С	42.2	G	Н	232.8	669.6	

¹Averages followed by the same lower-case letters, in the column, form statistically similar groups by the Scott-Knott method at 5% significance. ²F: Specific adaptability to favorable environments, G: General adaptability, D: Specific adaptability to unfavorable environments, H: High stability and L: Low stability. ³Pi_{min}: The minimum value for β_{0m} was adopted and Pi_{max}: The maximum value for β_{0m} was adopted.

Also by this methodology, MG/BR46_Conquista was shown to be of high stability, but recommended for favorable environments. When analyzing the results of Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), when considering the highest average as the ideal, the genotypes TMG 4185, BRSGO 7560 and BRSMG 752 S were the ones with the best genotypic performance. Whereas FT Cristalina and BRS 283 were the most recommended when the ideal was the lowest average. In this case, FT-Cristalina and BRS 283 were allocated in the groups of the lowest averages in the four environments and show high stability of behavior because the values obtained were the closest to the lowest average in each of the evaluation sites.

The genotypes BRSMG 850 GRR, MG/BR46_Conquista and BG 4272 were allocated to the highest average groups, in 5 of the 6 analyzed environments, and TMG 1175 RR and BMX Tornado RR to the lowest average groups, considering each environment separately (Table 5).

Table 5. Epicotyl length averages, in mm, measured in Experiment B, V2 stage, in 16 soybean genotypes in function of 6 environments.

Comotomoo					En	vironr	nents ^{1 and}	2				
Genotypes	1		2		3		4		5		6	
P98Y30	33.2	b	43.1	d	28.0	b	31.7	С	43.6	b	28.7	С
BRSMG 820 RR	36.0	b	48.0	d	34.0	b	35.0	С	49.8	а	40.5	а
BRSMG 850 GRR	43.6	а	68.6	b	42.1	а	39.4	b	53.2	а	42.3	а
BRS 810 C	36.7	b	46.5	d	37.8	а	47.0	а	46.8	b	33.6	b
BRS Valiosa RR	34.7	b	64.0	b	37.3	а	33.8	С	51.3	а	37.6	b
BRSMG 811 CRR	33.4	b	51.6	с	31.8	b	41.8	b	42.2	b	38.5	b
MG/BR46_Conquista	48.3	а	74.1	а	42.3	а	41.8	b	46.7	b	36.2	b
BG 4277	24.9	d	54.6	С	29.3	b	30.5	С	37.2	С	29.1	с
BRSMG 760 SRR	29.3	с	44.8	d	39.7	а	22.6	d	29.8	d	22.1	d
TMG 1175 RR	19.9	d	36.0	е	21.0	с	17.2	d	28.9	d	19.4	d
BRSMG 752 S	42.2	а	53.8	с	42.2	а	37.7	b	46.6	b	45.6	а
BG 4272	41.9	а	52.7	С	46.8	а	50.8	а	44.8	b	44.9	а
PRE 6336	25.6	d	34.2	е	30.9	b	31.5	С	33.9	С	25.7	с
BMX Tornado RR	22.3	d	23.6	f	17.2	с	24.3	d	27.5	d	23.6	d
NA 5909 RG	31.0	с	42.5	d	30.1	b	31.8	С	38.0	С	29.2	С
PRE 5808	25.3	d	36.5	е	31.4	b	35.6	с	36.2	С	31.1	С

¹Averages followed by the same lower-case letters, in the column, form statistically similar groups by the Scott-Knott method at 5% significance. ²Environments: 1 = November/2014, 2 = January/2015, 3 = March/2015, 4 = October/2015, 5 = February/2016 and 6 = April/2016.

Among the genotypes identified as having low or high averages, it was identified that all were classified as having high stability by RNA by Nascimento et al. (2013). For adaptability, TMG 1175 RR is recommended for general environments, BG 4272 and BMX Tornado RR are recommended for Unfavorable environments, while BRSMG 850 GRR and MG/BR46_Conquista are recommended for favorable environments. For Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998), the genotypes TMG 1175 RR and BMX Tornado RR are the most recommended when the ideotype is the one with the lowest average and the genotypes BRSMG 850 GRR, MG/BR46_Conquista and BG 4272 when analyzed based on the one with the highest average (Table 6).

The search for genotypes that are not or only slightly responsive to

environmental improvements is justified, in this work, to identify those that even in the presence of some environmental stimulus, the genotype will remain with an average statistically similar to that of the environment that did not receive this stimulus. Thus, the aim was to identify the genotypes of wide adaptability or adaptability to unfavorable environments. This is because the concept of adaptability refers to the ability of genotypes to respond to environmental stimuli and are classified as: genotypes with broad or general adaptability ($\beta_{1i} = 1$); genotypes with specific adaptability to favorable environments, ($\beta_{1i} < 1$); genotypes with specific adaptability to unfavorable environments, ($\beta_{1i} < 1$) (Barroso et al., 2013).

Table 6. Averages of epicotyl length, in measured in Experiment B, V2 stage, in 16 soybean genotypes as a function of 4 environments and estimates of adaptability and stability parameters by using the ANN method of Nascimentos et al., 2013 and Lin and Binns (1988), modified by Carneiro (1998) (L&B).

Construct	Averages	RN	A ¹	L&B ²		
Genotypes	(in mm)	Adap.	Stability	Pi _{min}	Pi _{max}	
P98Y30	34.7	General	High	174.0	793.5	
BRSMG 820 RR	40.5	General	High	292.6	581.2	
BRSMG 850 GRR	48.2	Favorable	High	531.2	385.7	
3RS 810 C	41.4	General	High	308.7	547.7	
BRS Valiosa RR	43.1	Favorable	High	397.1	538.7	
BRSMG 811 CRR	39.9	General	High	279.1	605.1	
MG/BR46_Conquista	48.2	Favorable	High	556.1	407.7	
BG 4277	34.3	Favorable	High	193.8	839.9	
BRSMG 760 SRR	31.4	General	High	136.1	944.2	
MG 1175 RR	23.7	General	High	43.2	1287.5	
BRSMG 752 S	44.7	General	High	391.2	443.0	
3G 4272	47.0	Unfavorable	High	451.0	373.2	
PRE 6336	30.3	Unfavorable	High	92.2	962.9	
3MX Tornado RR	23.1	Unfavorable	High	22.1	1304.0	
NA 5909 RG	33.8	General	High	149.5	822.4	
PRE 5808	32.7	Unfavorable	High	128.0	863.7	
Overall Average	37.3					

¹Favorable: Specific adaptability to favorable environments, General: General adaptability, Unfavorable: Specific adaptability to unfavorable environments, High: High stability, and Low: Low stability. ²Pi_{min}: The minimum value for β_{0m} was adopted and Pi_{max}: The maximum value for β_{0m} was adopted.

Conversely, it becomes possible to identify genotypes that are responsive to environmental improvements, i.e. specific adaptability to favorable environments. In this sense, the genotypes BRS 8381 and TMG 4185 (in V2 of Experiment A), MG/BR46_Conquista (in V3 of Experiment A) and BRSMG 850 GRR, BRS Valiosa RR, MG/BR46_Conquista and BG 4277 (in Experiment B) were recommended for favorable environments. This indicates these genotypes showed favorable response to environment stimulus and therefore epicotyl length can be influenced when the growing environment is favorable for its growth.

Thus, it was verified that there is a difference between genotypes, that the epicotyl length is a character that is influenced by environmental effects and that the genotypes present different responses when cultivated in different environments. In other words, the epicotyl length in some genotypes is stable and poorly responsive to environmental improvements, while in others the response is stable and

responsive to environmental improvements. Therefore, further studies focused on the identification of genotypes with these characteristics are important to improve the knowledge about the epicotyl length of soybean plants.

CONCLUSIONS

The genotypes that showed low averages for epicotyl length, wide adaptability or poor responsiveness to environmental improvements and stable across seasons were TMG 1175 RR (in V2), BMX Tornado RR (in V2), BG 4272 (in V2), BRS283 (in V2 and V3) and FT-Cristalina (in V2 and V3). Whereas BRSMG 752 S (in V2 and V3), TMG 4185 (in V3) and BRSGO 7560 (in V3) behaved as high average, high stability and wide adaptability.

The genotypes BRS 8381, TMG 4185, MG/BR46_Conquista, BRSMG 850 GRR, BRS Valiosa RR, and BG 4277 were stable and recommended for favorable environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out with the support of the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and the Foundation for Research Support of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG).

REFERENCES

- Alves, G. F., Nogueira, J. P. G., Machado Junior, R., Ferreira, S. C., Nascimento, M., & Matsuo, É. (2019). Stability of the hypocotyl length of soybean cultivars using neural networks and traditional methods. *Ciência Rural*, 49(3), e20180300. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20180300
- Barroso, L. M. A., Nascimento, M., Nascimento, A. C. C., Silva, F. F., & Ferreira, R. P. (2013). Uso do método de EBERHART e RUSSELL como informação a priori para aplicação de redes neurais artificiais e análise discriminante visando a classificação de genótipos de alfafa quanto à adaptabilidade e estabilidade fenotípica. *Revista Brasileira de Biometria*, *31*(2), 176-188.
- Camargos, T. V. C., Campos, N. S., Alves, G. F., Ferreira, S. C., & Matsuo, É. (2019). The effect of soil volume, plant density and sowing depth on soybean seedlings characters. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, *5*(2), 47-58. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.2019v5i2p47
- Carneiro, P. C. S. (1998). *Novas metodologias de análise da adaptabilidade e estabilidade de comportamento*. Tese de Doutorado em Genética e Melhoramento. Viçosa, MG: Universidade Federal de Viçosa.
- Carvalho, L. P., Teodoro, P. E., Barroso, L. M. A., Farias, F. J. C., Morello, C. L., & Nascimento, M. (2018). Artificial neural networks classify cotton genotypes for fiber length. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, *18*(2), 200–204. https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-70332018v18n2n28

- Chaves, M. V. A., Silva, N. S., Silva, R. H. O., Jorge, G. L., Silveira, I. C., Medeiros, L. A., Hamawaki, R. L., Hamawaki, O. T., Nogueira, A. P. O., & Hamawaki, C. D. L. (2017). Genotype x environment interaction and stability of soybean cultivars for vegetative-stage characters. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 16(3): gmr16039795. https://doi.org/10.4238/gmr16039795
- Cruz, C. D. (2013). GENES: a software package for analysis in experimental statistics and quantitative genetics. Acta Scientiarum. *Agronomy*, *35*(3), 271-276. https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v35i3.21251
- Cruz, C. D., & Carneiro, P. C. S. (2006). *Modelos biométricos aplicados ao melhoramento genético*. v. 2. (2nd ed.). Viçosa: UFV.
- Cruz, C. D., Regazzi, A. J., & Carneiro, P. C. S. (2012). *Modelos biométricos aplicados ao melhoramento genético*. (4th ed.). Viçosa, MG: UFV.
- Eberhart, S. A., & Russell, W. A. (1966). Stability parameters for comparing varieties.CropScience,6(1):36-40.https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1966.0011183X000600010011x
- Embrapa Soja. *Soja em números (safra 2019/20).* (2021). Available in: https://www.embrapa.br/soja/cultivos/soja1/dados-economicos. June 08, 2021.
- Fehr, W.R., & Caviness, C.E. (1977). *Stages of soybean development*. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University of Science and Technology.
- Finlay, K. W., & Wilkinson, G. N. (1963). The analysis of adaptation in plant-breeding programme. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 14(5): 742-754. http://doi.org/10.1071/AR9630742
- Gontijo, W. D. R., Sousa, P. H. S., Matsuo, É., Resende, J. C., Barros, P. H. F. C., & Bomtempo, G. L. (2021). Epicotyl length in seedlings of soybean cultivars subjected to reduced inter-row spacing. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, 7, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r132.v7.2021
- Gontijo, W. D. R., Matsuo, É., Evaristo, A. B., Cecon, P. R., Ferreira, S. C., & Reis, M. A. M. (2023). Analysis of morphological characters in soybean plants submitted to different levels of artificial shading. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, *9*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r185.v9.2023
- Hanyu, J., Costa, S. C., Cecon, P. R., & Matsuo, É. (2020). Genetic parameters estimate and characters analysis in phenotypic phase of soybean during two evaluation periods. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, 6, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r104.v6.2020
- Lin, C.S., & Binns, M. R. (1988). A superiority measure of cultivar performance for cultivar x location data. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, *68*, 93-198.

- Matsuo, É., Borém, A., & Sediyama, T. (2021b) *Desenvolvimento de cultivares*. In: Sediyama, T., Matsuo, É., & Borém, A. (Eds.). Melhoramento da Soja no Brasil. p. 93-102. Londrina, PR: Editora Mecenas.
- Matsuo, É., Borém, A., Sediyama, T., & Ferreira, S.C. (2021a). *Lei de Proteção de Cultivares*. In: Sediyama, T., Matsuo, É., & Borém, A. (Eds.). Melhoramento da Soja no Brasil. p. 73-79. Londrina, PR: Editora Mecenas.
- Matsuo, É., Dezordi, L. R., Nascimento, M., & Cruz, C. D. (2022) Adaptability and stability of soybean genotypes recommended for Alto Paranaíba in Minas Gerais. Scientia Agraria Paranaensis, 21(2), 169-177. https://doi.org/10.18188/sap.v21i2.29514
- Matsuo, É., Sediyama, T., Cruz, C. D., & Oliveira, R. C. T. (2012b). Análise da repetibilidade em alguns descritores morfológicos para soja. *Ciência Rural*, 42(2), 189-196. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782012000200001
- Matsuo, É., Sediyama, T., Cruz, C. D., Oliveira, R. C. T., & Cadore, L. R. (2012a). Estimates of the genetic parameters, optimum sample size and conversion of quantitative data in multiple categories for soybean genotypes. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, *34*(3), 265-273. https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v34i3.14015
- Nascimento, M., Nascimento, A.C.C., & Barroso, L.M.A. (2018). *RNA Aplicação em estudos de adaptabilidade e estabilidade fenotípica*. In: Cruz, C.D., & Nascimento, M. (Eds.). Inteligência Computacional Aplicada ao Melhoramento Genético. p. 278-291. Viçosa, MG: Editora UFV.
- Nascimento, M., Peternelli, L. A., Cruz, C. D., Nascimento, A. C. C., Ferreira, R. P., Bhering, L. L., & Salgado, C. C. (2013). Artificial neural networks for adaptability and stability evaluation in alfalfa genotypes. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 13(2), 152-156.
- Nogueira, A. P. O., Sediyama, T., Cruz, C. D., Reis, M. S., Pereira, D. G., & Jangarelli, M. (2008). Novas características para diferenciação de cultivares de soja pela análise discriminante. *Ciência Rural*, *38*(9), 2427-2433. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782008005000025
- Oda, C. M., Sediyama, T., Cruz, D. C., Nascimento, M., & Matsuo, É. (2022). Adaptability and yield stability of soybean genotypes by mean eberhart and Russell methods, artificial neural networks centroid. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, *8*,1-13. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r142.v8.2022
- Oda, M. C., Sediyama, T., Matsuo, É., Nascimento, M., & Cruz, C. D. (2019). Estabilidade e adaptabilidade de produção de grãos de soja por meio de metodologias tradicionais e redes neurais artificiais. *Scientia Agraria Paranaensis*, 18(2), 117-124. https://erevista.unioeste.br/index.php/scientiaagraria/article/view/21109

- Oda, M. C., Sediyama, T., Matsuo, É., Cruz, C. D., Barros, E. G., & Ferreira, M. F. S. (2015). Phenotypic and molecular traits diversity in soybean launched in forty years of genetic breeding. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, 1(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.2015v1i1p1
- Paixão, J. V. C. C., Matsuo, É., Sousa, I. C., Nascimento, M., Oliveira, I. S., Macedo, A. F., & Santana, G. M. (2023). Classification of soybean cultivars by means of artificial neural networks. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, 9, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r186.v9.2023
- Pimentel-Gomes, F. (1990). *Curso de estatística experimental*. (13th ed.). Piracicaba, SP: Nobel.
- Pradebon, L. C., Carvalho, I. R., Sangiovo, J. P., Loro, M. V., Scarton, V. D. B., Port, E. D., Mallmann, G., Stasiak, G., Maciel, D. G., Lopes, P. F., & Carioli, G. (2023). Management tendencies and needs: a joint proposal to maximize soybean grain yield. *Agronomy Science and Biotechnology*, *9*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.33158/ASB.r187.v9.2023
- R Development Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available in: http:// www.r-project.org
- Sediyama, T. (2009). *Tecnologias de produção e usos da soja*. Londrina, PR: Editora Mecenas.
- Silva, A. F., Silva, F. C. S., Bezerra, A. R., & Sediyama, T. (2021). *Histórico, evolução e importância econômica da soja*. In: Sediyama, T., Matsuo, É., & Borém, A. (Eds.). Melhoramento da Soja no Brasil. p. 13-21. Londrina, PR: Editora Mecenas.
- Teodoro, P. E., Barroso, L. M. A., Nascimento, M., Torres, F. E., Sagrilo, E., Santos, A., & Ribeiro, L. P. (2015). Redes neurais artificiais para identificar genótipos de feijão-caupi semiprostrado com alta adaptabilidade e estabilidade fenotípicas. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 50*(11), 1054-1060. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2015001100008
- Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B.D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* New York: Springer.